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Abstract
The high demands of the mobile user will affect the workload of eNodeB, which results in the decreasing performance system of eNodeB. Device-to-Device (D2D) underlaying communication system is a solution in reducing the workload of eNodeB and increasing the system data rate. This communication system consists of two users, namely Cellular User Equipment (CUE) and D2D pair, where CUE shares its resources with the D2D pair. This sharing of resources also causes interference and should be managed using the resource allocation algorithm. This research used the TAFIRA D2D algorithm and compared it with the greedy algorithm and the TAFIRA CUE algorithm. The research calculates parameter performance of the system, such as spectral efficiency, power efficiency, and fairness among D2D pairs. The simulation results show that Greedy algorithm has a better performance compared with TAFIRA algorithm. TAFIRA D2D only can achieve 19.94 bps/Hz in spectral efficiency, 23.88 Kbps/watt in power efficiency, and 89% fairness among D2D pairs.
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1 Introduction

New data-intensive applications are emerging in the daily routines of mobile users. At the same time, telecom operators are struggling to accommodate the high demands of mobile users [1]. The high demands of the mobile user will affect the workload of eNodeB, which results in the decreasing performance system of eNodeB. The D2D communication is direct communication between the device to device without going through eNodeB. Thus Device to Device (D2D) communication can mitigate the load of eNodeB while also increasing the system capacity [2].

Underlay D2D communication uses the same uplink or downlink channel or both channels of Cellular User Equipment (CUE) simultaneously[3]. This communication affects the increase of spectral efficiency and power efficiency [4]. However, it also affects the interference between users inside the system that interrupts the communication with the device [5]. In particular, if the management of interference D2D communication is not properly enough, it severely degrade the performance of the cellular network [6].

Work [7] proposed a novel joint power control and resource scheduling scheme to enhance both the network throughput and the users fairness of the underlay D2D communication networks. Work [8] compared a two-phase auction-based, fair, and interference aware resource allocation algorithm (TAFIRA) with a minimum knapsack-based interference resource allocation algorithm (MIKIRA) and obtains a much better system sum-rate when compared with MIKIRA. Work [9] proposed a new algorithm based on a local search algorithm and compared it with two existing algorithms (MIKIRA and TAFIRA).

This research discusses the allocation of the resource block (RB) using the TAFIRA D2D algorithm to decrease the interference and also to obtain a better performance parameter. This algorithm allocates
the resource based on capacity of the D2D pairs and the CUE side. In this simulation, the D2D pairs are varied. After that, TAFIRA D2D compares with TAFIRA CUE and Greedy algorithm to analyze the performances. The simulation shows that the TAFIRA algorithm cannot improve the system performance.

2 Simulation Process

Figure 1 shows the simulation flow of this research. This research starts with initialization and generates user. The path loss value got from the calculation of distance between users. Then the gain channel, Signal to Noise Interference (SINR) value, and capacity of each user are calculated. After that, the proposed allocation algorithm allocates all of the CUE’s resources to the D2D pair. Then the performance parameters are calculated and analyzed.

2.1 System Model

The system model is a single cell to avoid interference from other users in other cells, the user is idle to keep away from the handover occurred. The cell contains an eNodeB, D2D transmitter (D2D Tx), D2D Receiver (D2D Rx), and CUE. This research focuses on uplink communication. CUE should be more than D2D pair because D2D pair need CUE’s resources to communicate in the system. Each D2D pair is only allowed to be paired with a CUE. Utilization of resources simultaneously by CUE and D2D pair affects the interference. The signal from D2D Tx affects the interference to eNodeB, and the signal from CUE affects the interference to D2D Rx. Figure 2 explain about the utilization of resource simultaneously by CUE with D2D and interference received by users.

Equation 1 shows Urban Micro Systems (UMi) to calculate the path loss on the distance of users [10].

\[
PL_{i,j} = 36.7\log_{10}(d) + 22.7 + 26\log_{10}(fc)
\]  

(1)

where \(d\) represents a distance in meter, and \(fc\) represents the working frequency in the system in gigahertz. Pathloss in Equation 1 is used to find the gain of a channel using Equation 2 [11].

\[
G_{i,j} = PL_{i,j} + X_{\sigma} + \prod
\]

(2)

where \(PL_{i,j}\) represents the path loss value in dB, \(X_{\sigma}\) represents the large scale fading with Gaussian distribution, and \(\prod\) represents the small scale fading with Rayleigh distribution.

SINR is a comparison of the main signal with the interference and noise values that arise [12]. Equation 3 calculates the SINR value of eNodeB, whereas Equation 4 calculates the SINR value of D2D.

\[
\gamma_{i,j} = \frac{P_i \cdot G_{i,eNB}}{N_0 + P_j \cdot G_{j,Tx,eNB}}
\]  

(3)

\[
\beta_{j,Tx,jRx} = \frac{P_j \cdot G_{j,Tx,jRx}}{N_0 + P_i \cdot G_{i,j,Rx}}
\]  

(4)

where \(i\) represents the CUE, \(j\) represents the D2D, \(\gamma_{i,j}\) represents the SINR value of eNodeB, \(\beta_{j,Tx,jRx}\) represents the SINR value of D2D, \(G_{i,eNB}\) represents the channel gain of CUE to eNodeB, \(G_{j,Tx,eNB}\) represents the channel gain of D2D TX to eNodeB, \(G_{j,Tx,jRx}\) represents the channel gain of D2D TX to D2D RX, \(G_{i,j,Rx}\) represents the channel gain of CUE to D2D RX, \(P_i\) represents the transmit power of CUE, \(P_j\) represents the transmit power of D2D and \(N_0\) represents the noise. SINR in Equations 3 and 4 are loaded in the form of a matrix which will become the input for each allocation algorithm used.

2.2 Problem Formulation

This research aims to minimize the interference and obtain good performance from the D2D commun-
nunication system. This research uses a problem formulation defined on the equation below
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{C} \mu c_{i,j} + \sum_{j=1}^{D} \mu d_{i,j} \cdot x_{i,j}, \]  
(5) \[ \sum_{i=1}^{C} x_{i,j} \leq 1, \forall j \in \{1, \ldots, D\}, \]  
(6) \[ \sum_{j=1}^{D} x_{i,j} \leq 1, \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, C\}, \]  
(7) \[ x_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\}, \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \text{ and } \forall j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}. \]  
(8)

where \( \mu c_{i,j} \) on equation 5 represents the sum rate generated from the SINR of eNodeB and D2D. Equation 6 shows that a single CUE \( i \) can only be paired with a single D2D, while Equation 7 shows that a single D2D \( j \) can only use a single resource block of the CUE \( i \). Equation 8 ensures that the variable of \( x_{i,j} \) is a binary number 1 or 0. \( x_{i,j} \) will value as 1 if the CUE shares its resources with the D2D pair. Otherwise, \( x_{i,j} \) will be 0.

2.3 Proposed Algorithm

2.3.1 Greedy Algorithm

The greedy algorithm is a resource scheduling algorithm in D2D communication, which only allocates to the user with the best channel status. The order of the scheduling process is determined based on the order in which the user arrives. The user in the first order can choose the best channel to use. The allocated resource is forbidden to use for other D2D pairs. This allocation will continue until all the D2D pairs get resources from CUE [13].

2.3.2 TAFIRA Algorithm

The TAFIRA algorithm is a resource allocation algorithm in D2D communication. Based on the work [8] TAFIRA aims to minimize the total interference introduced in the system when the D2D pairs use the CUE channel while also ensure fairness in resource allocation. The algorithm uses the combined capacity of the eNodeB and D2D pair as an input parameter. If it cannot find any allocation in the first phase of the auction, then a second phase starts to find an allocation that satisfies the system sum-rate demand. This allocation will continue until all the D2D pairs get resources from CUE.

2.4 Simulation Parameter

The proposed TAFIRA D2D algorithm is analyzed and simulated with Python. The proposed algorithm compares with the greedy algorithm, and TAFIRA CUE then analyzes with parameters, namely spectral efficiency, power efficiency, and D2D fairness. Table 1 shows the simulation parameters of this research. Due to this research focuses on uplink communication, the transmit power for the CUE and D2D in this simulation will be equal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cell Radius</td>
<td>500 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2D Radius</td>
<td>40 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of CUE</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of D2D pairs</td>
<td>Between 5 to 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(multiples of 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUE Transmit Power</td>
<td>0.5 watt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2D Transmit Power</td>
<td>0.5 watt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Resource Block</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Bandwidth</td>
<td>180 KHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathloss Model</td>
<td>UMi Pathloss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Transmission Interval (TTI)</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>-174 dbm/Hz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Simulation Parameter
2.4.3 Fairness

Fairness is the equal distribution of sum rates for each D2D pair obtained [16]. Equation 7 calculates the amount of fairness value.

\[
FI_{Tx,Rx} = \frac{\left( \sum_{i=0}^{J} \sum_{j=0}^{I} \rho_{i,j} \right)^2}{J \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{J} \left( \rho_{i,j} \right)^2}
\] (11)

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Performance Results of Spectral Efficiency

Figure 3 shows the graph results of the spectral efficiency performance of each algorithm. It shows that the number of D2D users give an impact on spectral efficiency performance. The enhancement of D2D users can improve the spectral efficiency performance in the system because the enhancement of D2D users can improve the sum rate value. Thus it is also affecting the spectral efficiency of the system.

Table 2 shows the comparison value of the spectral efficiency results of each algorithm. It shows that the higher spectral efficiency takes place in Greedy algorithm with the value 20.52 bps/Hz. The result is 0.04 bps/Hz greater than the TAFIRA CUE algorithm and also 0.58 bps/Hz greater than the TAFIRA D2D algorithm. High spectral efficiency means that the Greedy algorithm has the best performance to transmit data every second in a hertz.

Table 2: Average Spectral Efficiency of Each Algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Spectral Efficiency (bps/Hz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Greedy</td>
<td>20.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAFIRA CUE</td>
<td>20.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAFIRA D2D</td>
<td>19.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Performance Results of Power Efficiency

Figure 4 shows the graph results of the power efficiency performance of each algorithm. It shows that the number of D2D users give an impact on power efficiency performance. The enhancement of D2D users can affect the power efficiency performance in the system because it can decrease the power efficiency in the system. Thus, the power efficiency of the system tends to decrease.

Table 3 shows the comparison energy efficiency results of each algorithm. It shows that the higher power efficiency takes place in Greedy algorithm with a value of 24.62 Kbps/watt. The result is 0.03 Kbps/watt greater than the TAFIRA CUE algorithm and 0.74 Kbps/watt greater than the TAFIRA D2D algorithm. Thus it proves that the Greedy algorithm makes the power in the system more efficient.

Table 3: Average Power Efficiency of Each Algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Power Efficiency (Kbps/watt)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Greedy</td>
<td>24.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAFIRA CUE</td>
<td>24.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAFIRA D2D</td>
<td>23.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3 Fairness Performance Results

Figure 5 shows the graph results of the fairness performance of each algorithm. It shows that there is a reducing value of fairness number of D2D users hit 20 due to there is noise. But as increase the number of D2D users then it also affects the instability value of fairness. It is due to the value of fairness number of D2D users depends on the value of the sum rate and the number of D2D pairs.

Table 4 shows the comparison of the fairness results of each algorithm. It shows that the higher fairness is in the Greedy algorithm with the value of 0.91,
which is 0.01 greater than the TAFIRA CUE algorithm also 0.02 greater than the TAFIRA D2D algorithm. The value of it is still not quite good, due to there is still lack of ability dividing all resources fairly.

Table 4: Fairness of Each Algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Fairness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Greedy</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAFIRA CUE</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAFIRA D2D</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Conclusion

The research discusses performance simulation comparison of the resource allocation scheme between the TAFIRA algorithm and the conventional Greedy algorithm. The simulation uses the number variations of the D2D pairs in the system. The results show that the TAFIRA D2D algorithm obtains a lower performance than the Greedy Algorithm with value results of 19.94 bps/Hz in spectral efficiency, 23.88 Kbps/watt in power efficiency, and 0.89 in the fairness among D2D pairs. It is due to the TAFIRA algorithm has two-phase where all of the large allocation resources have been allocated for the D2D pair in the first phase, which can cause a small allocation resource for the D2D pair who got the resource from the second phase. In addition, adding a power control in every algorithm might resolve the instability result of performance. Thus, it can be an opportunity for future works.
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